

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/642,578	08/19/2003	Moungi Bawendi	01997-276002	2941	
26161 73	590 05/21/2004	EXAMINER			
FISH & RICHARDSON PC			LE, HOA T		
225 FRANKLI BOSTON, MA	- · <del>-</del> -		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
2001011, 1111			1773		
			DATE MAILED: 05/21/2004	4	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		_						
		Applica	ition No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/642	,578	BAWENDI ET AL.				
		Examin	er	Art Unit				
		H. T. Le		1773				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commu or Reply	nication appears on t	the cover sheet v	vith the correspondence addr	ess			
THE   - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNisions of time may be available under the provisior SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this come period for reply specified above is less than thirty of period for reply is specified above, the maximum or reto reply within the set or extended period for repreply received by the Office later than three months and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	VICATION. as of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no amunication. (30) days, a reply within the s statutory period will apply and ly will. by statute, cause the a	event, however, may a statutory minimum of the d will expire SIX (6) MC application to become	a reply be timely filed irty (30) days will be considered timely. INTHS from the mailing date of this comi ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nunication.			
Status								
1)	Responsive to communication(s) fi	led on						
,—	This action is <b>FINAL</b> .	2b)⊠ This action is						
3)□	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposit	ion of Claims							
5)⊠ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-69 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/Claim(s) 43-60,68 and 69 is/are all Claim(s) 1-42 and 61-67 is/are rejection(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restr	are withdrawn from owed. ected.						
Applicat	ion Papers							
10)	The specification is objected to by the drawing(s) filed on is/arc Applicant may not request that any objected the oath or declaration is objected	e: a) accepted or ection to the drawing(s ng the correction is req	s) be held in abey uired if the drawir	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR				
Priority (	under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some color None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
2)  Noti	nt(s) ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review rmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 er No(s)/Mail Date		Paper N	v Summary (PTO-413) o(s)/Mail Date f Informal Patent Application (PTO- <sup>/</sup> 	152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/642,578

Art Unit: 1773

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Double Patenting

1. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

2. Claims 1-42 and 61-67 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of double patenting over claims 1-31 of U. S. Patent No. 6,322,901 since the claims, if allowed, would improperly extend the "right to exclude" already granted in the patent.

The subject matter claimed in the instant application is fully disclosed in the patent and is covered by the patent since the patent and the application are claiming common subject matter, as follows: they are directed to a plurality of monodisperse coated nanocrystal particles. Although the preamble of the reference claims is in singular form: "a coated nanocrystal", it essentially recites "a plurality of monodisperse coated nanocrystals" because the claims require that the nanocrystal be "a member of a monodisperse particle population" and the property as recited in the claim is a property of a monodisperse particle population which contains a plurality of the coated nanocrystal. Similarly, the preambles of the instant

Application/Control Number: 10/642,578

Art Unit: 1773

claims, "a monodisperse population of nanocrystals" (claims 1-24), "a suspension of nanocrystals" (claims 25-42) and "a family of nanocrystal dispersions" are just different ways of claiming "a plurality of monodisperse nanocrystals". Thus, the only difference between the reference claims and the instant claims are the preamble. The product limitations recited in the reference claims and the instant claims are the identical. If all these instant claims (1-42 and 61-67) were present in the reference, they would have been examined along with the reference claims without any imposition of restriction requirement.

Furthermore, there is no apparent reason why applicant was prevented from presenting claims corresponding to those of the instant application during prosecution of the application which matured into a patent. See *In re Sdmeller*, 397 F.2d 350, 158 USPQ 210 (CCPA 1968). See also MPEP § 804.

## Allowable Subject Matter

- 3. Claims 43-60 and 68-69 are allowed.
- 4. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

  None of the references of record teach or suggest a method of producing a plurality of
  monodisperse coated nanocrystals having specific properties as claimed.
- 5. References are cited as art of interest.
- 6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to H. T. Le whose telephone number is 571-272-1511. The examiner can normally be reached on 10:00 a.m. to 6:30 p.m., Mondays to Friday.

Application/Control Number: 10/642,578

Art Unit: 1773

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Primary Examiner
Art Unit 1773